



Note: Staff reports can be accessed at www.mncppc.org/pgco/planning/plan.htm.

Preliminary Plan 4-06063

Application	General Data
Project Name: RODENHAUSER PROPERTY Location: East side of Church Road and northeast of Rodenhauser Lane. Applicant/Address: PDC, Inc. 5840 Banneker Road Columbia, Maryland 21044	Date Accepted: 06/29/06
	Planning Board Action Limit: 12/18/06
	Plan Acreage: 73.01
	Zone: R-E
	Lots: 62
	Parcels: 1
	Planning Area: 74A
	Tier: Developing
	Council District: 04
	Municipality: N/A
200-Scale Base Map: 205NE12	

Purpose of Application	Notice Dates
RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION Including variations to Section 24-130 of the Subdivision Regulations	Adjoining Property Owners Previous Parties of Record Registered Associations: 05/30/06 (CB-58-2003)
	Sign(s) Posted on Site and Notice of Hearing Mailed: 11/07/06
Staff Recommendation	
Staff Reviewer: John Ferrante	
APPROVAL	APPROVAL WITH CONDITIONS
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DISAPPROVAL	DISCUSSION
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

THE MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL
PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION

PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY PLANNING BOARD

STAFF REPORT

SUBJECT: Preliminary Plan of Subdivision 4-06063
Lots 1-8, Block A, Lots 1-54, Block B
Parcel A, and Outlot B

OVERVIEW

The subject property is located on Tax Map 54, Grid D-3, and is known as Parcel 13 and includes all of the dedicated, but unbuilt public rights-of-way of Rodenhauser Lane and Port Lane (WWW 72@17). These rights-of-way are proposed to be vacated should this preliminary plan of subdivision obtain approval. The property is approximately 73.01 acres, excluding the right of way described above, and is zoned R-E. The property is currently improved with two detached single-family dwellings and several accessory buildings that will be razed. The two existing dwellings are proposed to remain, and individual lots have been created to accommodate each dwelling unit.

The applicant is proposing to subdivide this property into 62 lots and one parcel utilizing the lot size averaging provisions of Section 24-121(a)(12) of the Subdivision Regulations. Council Bill, CB-6-2006 (DR-4), was adopted by the District Council on August 10, 2006, which amended the Subdivision Regulations to only allow the use of lot size averaging for preliminary plans of subdivision that were accepted prior to July 1, 2006. This application was accepted on June 29, 2006. Therefore, 26 of the proposed 62 lots are utilizing the lot size averaging provisions of Section 24-121 of the Subdivision Regulations, which requires a minimum net lot area of 30,000 square feet in the R-E Zone. The remaining 36 lots are proposed to be subdivided using the conventional standards for the R-E Zone, which require a minimum net lot area of 40,000 square feet. All of the proposed lots meet or exceed the minimum net lot area required in the R-E Zone based on their perspective lot size averaging and conventional approaches.

Parcel A will contain a sewer pumping station, which is necessary to provide sanitary sewer service to the future residence of this development, as well as adjacent proposed developments. WSSC has approved the location of the proposed pump station, and Parcel A will be conveyed to the Washington Sanitary Sewer Commission (WSSC) at the time of any final plat.

The preliminary plan submitted also demonstrates a proposed parcel (Parcel B), which will contain shared driveway to serve proposed lots 42 and 43, Block B. The shared driveway design was requested by staff during the review of the prior applications for the subject property. The purpose of the shared access is to eliminate any further impacts to the existing sensitive environmental features that are located on these lots. However, the preliminary plan incorrectly designates this access as a proposed parcel. The proposed access will be a private right-of-way easement in accordance with Section 24-128(b)(1) of the Subdivision Regulations, which may be deemed adequate by the Planning Board if no more than four lots are to be served by the easement, the easement has a minimum right-of way width of 22-feet connecting the lots to a public road, and all the lots served by the easement have a minimum net lot area of two acres. Both of the proposed lots that will be served by the private right-of-way easement are over two acres in size. Minor revisions to expand the width of the proposed easement are required prior to any signature approval of the preliminary plan to ensure that Lot 43, Block B has a minimum lot width of 50-feet at the

front street line. Therefore, the proposed easement must be widened, or further extended into Lot 43, Block B to meet the minimum lot width required along front street line within the R-E Zone.

The preliminary plan submitted demonstrates a proposed outlot consisting of approximately 2,508 square feet. The adjacent property to the south known as Lot 4 within the Rodenhauser Meadows Subdivision, and labeled as the "Chroniger Property", (WWW 72 @ 17), is improved with a swimming pool that will be situated fairly close to proposed internal Street "B." Although no landscape bufferyards are required between compatible residential subdivisions, Staff had requested that an outlot be created in this area to provide some form of visual screening between the existing swimming pool located on the adjacent property, and the proposed internal street, which the applicant has provided. An evergreen strip is proposed on the outlot, and the property will be conveyed to the adjacent property owner who owns the swimming pool, should they choose to accept the additional land.

The property has been the subject of two prior preliminary plans of subdivision applications. Prior Preliminary Plan 4-04175, submitted in February of 2005, was disapproved by the Planning Board for 61 lots on June 23, 2005, based on inadequate fire/rescue and police response times. The board's action is contained in Resolution PGCPB No. 05-143. Prior Preliminary Plan 4-05122 was accepted for the subject property on January 23, 2006, for 64 lots and 1 parcel. Going into the March 30, 2006, public hearing for this case, staff recommended disapproval due to inadequate police department staffing levels, as well as unresolved issues with the proposed subdivision layout and environmental issues. At the hearing, the applicant had requested a continuance to allow more time to resolve the outstanding issues. The Planning Board granted the continuance request until June 1, 2006, however, the application was withdrawn by the applicant prior to the public hearing due to their inability to obtain adequate police department staffing levels within the application's mandatory review period.

Variation requests and exhibits have been submitted for proposed impacts to sensitive environmental features in accordance with Section 24-130 of the Subdivision Regulations. It should be noted that revised variation requests and exhibits were submitted by the applicant the day after the Environmental Planning Section completed their final memo for this application. While some of the exhibit numbers for the proposed impacts referenced within the Environmental Planning Section's memo do not match the most recent exhibits numbers submitted by the applicant, all of the proposed impacts have been reviewed, and are supported by the Environmental Planning Section due to their necessity for the development of the site.

Church Road is a designated scenic and historic road. Both the preliminary plan and the tree conservation plan submitted demonstrate the required 40-foot wide scenic easement behind the 10-foot wide public utility easement. However, most of the proposed easement area is not currently wooded. Therefore, conditions have been established to require reforestation treatment within the designated 40-foot wide easement area utilizing large caliper trees that will provide a visual buffer from the historic road. The Type II, Tree Conservation Plan will be required to include a plant schedule for the quantity of trees within the reforestation area/40-foot wide scenic easement area to further demonstrate compliance with the Woodland Conservation Ordinance.

SETTING

The property is located on the east side of Church Road, approximately 562 feet north of its intersection with Dunwood Valley Drive. All of the surrounding properties are zoned R-E. Abutting to the north is a pending preliminary plan of subdivision application (4-06103) for the Lonergan Property, which is dependent on this preliminary plan approval to gain access to a public street (Proposed Street E). The Collingbrook Subdivision, (REP 197 @ 92 & 93) is also located to the north and will connect to the subject property via Dawn Whistle Way. Both of these developments are proposed for detached single-

family dwelling units. To the east and south is the Woodmore Highland Subdivision, (NLP 148 @ 52 & 53), generally developed with detached single-family dwelling units. To the west, and across Church Road from the subject property is the King’s Isle Estates Subdivision (CH 191 @ 48, 49, & 50) within the R-A Zone.

FINDINGS AND REASONS FOR STAFF RECOMMENDATION

1. **Development Data Summary**—The following information relates to the subject preliminary plan application and the proposed development.

	EXISTING	PROPOSED
Zone	R-E	R-E
Use(s)	Primarily Undeveloped	Detached Single-Family Dwellings
Acreage	73.01	73.01
Lots	0	62
Outlots	0	1
Parcels	1	1
Dwelling Units:		
Detached	2 (to remain)	62 (60 new)
Public Safety Mitigation Fee		No

2. **Environmental**—The Environmental Planning Section has reviewed revised plans for Preliminary Plan of Subdivision 4-06063, and the Type I Tree Conservation Plan (TCPI/08/05), stamped as received on October 18, 2006. The submittal included a revised letter of justification dated September 18, 2006 for proposed impacts to the PMA. The Environmental Planning Section recommends approval of Preliminary Plan 4-06063 and the Type I Tree Conservation Plan, TCPI/08/05, subject to conditions.

The proposal is for a 62-lot subdivision for single-family detached dwellings and two parcels (Parcels A and B). Parcel A will contain a sanitary sewer pump station and Parcel B is located on proposed Lot 42 for a private driveway for proposed Lot 43.

This 73.01-acre site in the R-E zone is located on the east side of Church Road, and is approximately 1,300 feet south of John Hanson Highway, US Route 50. Based on year 2005 air photos the site is approximately 21 percent wooded. Regulated environmental features are associated with the site. These features include a stream that bisects the site from north to south, wetlands, 100-year floodplain, and areas of steep and severe slopes. According to the Prince George’s County Soil Survey seven soil series are found to occur at the site. These soils include: Adelphia fine sandy loam, Christiana silt loam, Collington fine sandy loam, mixed alluvial land, Monmouth clay loam, Monmouth fine sandy loam, and Shrewsbury fine sandy loam. Three of these soil types have K-factors greater than 0.37 and these include the Christiana, and both the Monmouth soils. Four soils have development constraints associated with them. The Adelphia soil has seasonally high water table and issues related to slopes, the Christiana soil has high shrink-swell potential in relation to house foundations, the mixed alluvial land soil is prone to a high water table and flood hazard and the Shrewsbury soil has a high water table and poor drainage around house foundations. According to available information, Marlboro clays are not found to occur at this location. Church Road is a designated scenic and historic road in the 1992 *Approved Historic Sites and Districts Plan*. According to information obtained from the Maryland Department of Natural Resources Wildlife and Natural Heritage Program, there are no records of rare and threatened species in vicinity of the property. According to the Countywide

Green Infrastructure Plan, the site has regulated areas, evaluation areas and network gaps associated with it. The site is located in the Collington Branch watershed of the Patuxent River basin, the Bowie and vicinity planning area and the Developing Tier as reflected in the adopted General Plan.

A revised Natural Resources Inventory (NRI/148/05-01) was signed on September 27, 2006 and is on file in the Environmental Planning Section. The PMA delineation on the revised NRI has been reviewed in relation to the revised preliminary plan and TCPI. It appears the PMA is shown correctly on the latter two plans.

The site is comprised of fallow fields and a mature, mixed hardwood forest area, the latter natural feature totaling 15.89 acres. Two forest stands (Stand 1A and 1B) are dominated by red maple and sweet gum. Stand 1A contains 14.28 acres and Stand 1B contains 1.14 acres. Nine specimen trees are scattered throughout the site. Stand 1A has relatively dense shrub and herbaceous layers dominated by spicebush and arrowwood. This stand also contains significant environmental features (streams, wetlands, 100-year floodplain, steep slopes and three of the nine specimen trees). This on-site woodland, especially in Stand 1A, is a very high priority for preservation. No further plan revisions to the NRI are necessary.

The site has regulated areas, evaluation areas and network gaps associated with it as shown on the Countywide Green Infrastructure Plan. Approximately half of the site is in a designated evaluation area. The site has a woodland conservation threshold (WCT) of 17.43 acres and a woodland conservation requirement of 19.20 acres. The revised TCPI shows this requirement to be met with on-site preservation and reforestation (7.78 acres and 11.42 acres, respectively). The TCPI was revised to meet the site's requirement on-site because all three network features from the plan are associated with this site. The revised plan implements the Green Infrastructure Plan at this location.

Because extensive reforestation is now proposed on numerous private lots, and in some instances along with on-site preservation treatments interrelated on the same lot to fulfill the woodland conservation requirement, protective fencing is required in relation to both proposed woodland treatments. In order to protect proposed reforestation treatment areas after planting and some interrelated on-site preservation treatments on the same lot, especially so that the reforestation areas may mature into perpetual woodlands, the reforestation and installation of associated permanent protective fencing must be completed prior to the issuance of a building permit for each associated lot. All reforestation areas to be located on private lots must be placed in conservation easements, including those lots with interrelated on-site preservation treatments. These lots include: Lots 2-16, 23-29, 34-43, and 46-49 of Block B.

In addition, it appears some lots with proposed reforestation treatments and PMA will limit the amount of useable, cleared rear yard area. At least 40 feet of unencumbered rear yard is needed to provide room for construction of the homes, to ensure the long-term protection of the woodland conservation in these rear yards and to allow for future changes in house types that may impact the clearing and grading around each house. In order to make a potential homebuyer aware of limitations on these certain lots, the builder must show a copy of the approved TCPII to a buyer at the time a deposit is put on certain lots. An affidavit should be executed at the time a deposit is made, and the affidavit should acknowledge the buyer's understanding of the limitations associated with the lot. The TCPII must contain a graphic symbol as shown on the current TCPI for proposed Lots 52 and 53 to demonstrate the 40 feet of cleared rear yard area in relation to the back of the house footprint and the residential edge of the woodland treatment/conservation easement.

The site contains regulated environmental features including streams, wetlands, 100-year floodplain, steep and severe slopes. The regulated features are associated with the Patuxent River basin and are within the Patuxent River Primary Management Area (PMA). All regulated site features are required to be delineated at the time of preliminary plan submission. The Patuxent River Primary Management Area (PMA) is to be preserved to the fullest extent possible as required in Section 24-130(b)(5) of the Subdivision Ordinance. The original preliminary plan and revised TCPI show the PMA delineation correctly based on the signed -01 revision to the NRI.

The revised TCPI shows five PMA impact areas. A revised letter of justification dated September 18, 2006, was submitted to identify the impact areas as Impacts 1A-D and Impact 2. Generally, impacts to the PMA are only recommended for essential development features. Essential development includes such features as public utility lines (including sewer and stormwater outfalls), road crossings, etc., which are mandated for public health and safety. Non-essential activities are those, such as grading for lots, stormwater management ponds and parking areas, which do not relate directly to public health, safety or welfare.

PMA Impact Evaluation Analysis

Impacts 1A-D

Impact 1A is located on proposed Lots 42 and 43 consisting of 3,470 square feet for the installation of a storm drain outfall. Impact 1B consists of 7,060 square feet and is located on proposed Lots 21, 22, 50 and 51 for impacts to a stream for the installation of a storm drain. Impact 1C totals 1,155 square feet and is located along the common side property line of proposed Lots 16 and 17 of Block B for installation of a storm drain outfall. Impact 1D is located at the common property line of proposed Lots 2 and 3 of Block B for installation of a storm drain outfall. This impact area totals 1,271 feet. The total impact area in impacts 1A-D is 13,511 square feet.

Impact 2

Impact 2 is located northeast of the proposed pump station on Parcel A and is partially on proposed Lots 49 and 50 of Block B. This impact is for the installation of force main gravity and pressure sewer lines to serve the development. The total area in this impact is 11,227 square feet.

Comment: All five proposed impacts are for the installation of essential infrastructure improvements necessary for development of the site. The Environmental Planning Section recommends that the Planning Board find that the proposed impacts to the Patuxent River Primary Management Area represented in Impacts 1A-D and Impact 2 for the construction of storm drain outfalls and gravity and pressure sewer lines are the minimum necessary and have been designed to minimize impacts to the greatest extent possible. In order to minimize impacts associated with proposed Lot 43, a redesign should be evaluated that shortens the length of the pipe for the outfall.

Because the proposal is for residential development where it is possible more than one builder will have a presence, and there are extensive regulated environmental features associated with the site, the location of all construction and sales trailers in the early phase of development must recognize the approved limits of disturbance on the TCP's. The submittal of the TCPII must include a corresponding symbol on the plan and legend to depict the temporary location(s) of all

proposed construction and sales trailers before any model units are constructed. These temporary construction and sales trailer locations should not violate the approved limits of disturbance on the TCPII (i.e., conservation easements for the PMA and woodland treatment areas).

The site is subject to the Prince George's County Woodland Conservation Ordinance because the gross tract area is in excess of 40,000 square feet and there are more than 10,000 square feet of woodlands on-site. A revised Type I Tree Conservation Plan has been submitted and reviewed.

Existing woodland on the site totals 12.88 acres, of which 3.01 acres are within the 100-year floodplain. The woodland conservation threshold (WCT) is 17.43 acres. The proposed amount of woodland to be cleared totals 5.06 acres, of which 0.19 acres are within the floodplain. The site's woodland conservation requirement totals 19.20 acres and is proposed to be met with 7.78 acres of on-site preservation and 11.42 acres of reforestation. In order for the TCPI to meet the requirements of the Woodland Conservation Ordinance, revisions are necessary.

The plan has deficiencies regarding woodland conservation information required on a TCPI. It appears a proposed storm drain or sewer easement is not clearly identified on the plan on the side yards of proposed Lots 4, 5, 10 and 11. The proposed storm drain on Lot 1 of Block B does not have an outfall area within an easement. Lots 2 and 3 also have a storm drain on the common side property line; however, an easement is not identified. All easements need to be labeled for their intended purpose on the plan and include the width of each easement. All the proposed lot sizes need to be added to the TCPI.

There is a note on proposed Lot 29 of Block B that reads: "Evergreen buffer strip to be provided." A note should be provided on the plan with the purpose of the landscape buffer to screen the pump station.

In standard Type I Tree Conservation Plan note 1 the reference to the "Rodenhauser Property" should be replaced at the end of the first sentence and the phrase "Preliminary Plan 4-06063" should be inserted.

After these revisions have been made, the qualified professional who prepared the plan should sign and date it.

Church Road is a designated scenic and historic road. Both the original preliminary plan and revised TCPI show the required 40-foot wide scenic easement behind the 10-foot wide public utility easement (PUE). Most of the easement area is not wooded. In the February 10, 2006 memo for Preliminary Plan 4-05122, the following review comment was made in relation to the scenic easement area:

"This area should be shown on a revised TCPI for reforestation with large caliper trees to provide a visual buffer from the historic road where one does not currently exist. The quantity of trees in the reforestation area must be shown on the TCPII in a plant schedule to meet the requirements of the Woodland Conservation Manual."

The revised plan contains a note in a portion of the scenic easement that reads: "Proposed driveways to serve Lots 1 and 2." However, the driveways are not shown on the plan. A reforestation treatment area should be provided in the scenic easement to count toward the site's woodland conservation requirement. This woodland treatment should be labeled on the plan with the corresponding symbol in the legend, and shown to the closest 1/100th of an acre. The TCPI's worksheet should be adjusted accordingly.

A copy of the approved stormwater management concept plan and concept plan approval letter issued by the Prince George's County Department of Environmental Resources (DER) were submitted. The conceptual storm drain plan is case number: 1822-2005-00 and was approved on May 31, 2005. This approval is valid for three years from the date of issuance. No further information regarding stormwater management is necessary.

Water and Sewer Categories

The water and sewer service categories are W-4 and S-4 according to water and sewer maps obtained from the Department of Environmental Resources dated June 2003, and will therefore be served by public systems.

3. **Community Planning**—The subject property is located in Planning Area 74A, and is within the limits of The 2006 *Bowie & Vicinity Master Plan*. The master plan land use recommendation is for a low-density, residential use. This application proposes a low-density residential use, and is therefore consistent with land use recommendation within The 2006 *Bowie & Vicinity Master Plan*.

The 2002 General Plan locates the subject property within the Developing Tier. The vision for the Developing Tier is to maintain a pattern of low- to moderate-density suburban residential communities, distinct commercial centers, and employment areas that are increasingly transit serviceable. This application proposes a low-density suburban residential community, and is therefore consistent with 2002 General Plan Development Pattern policies for the Developing Tier.

The Bowie & vicinity sectional map amendment retained the property in the R-E (Residential Estate) Zone. The property is located in the APA-6 for Freeway Airport.

This application is located under the traffic pattern for a small general aviation airport (Freeway Airport). This area is subject to aviation policy area regulations adopted by CB-51-2002 (DR-2) as Sections 27-548.32 through 27-548.48 of the Zoning Ordinance. Specifically, the subject property is located in Aviation Policy Area (APA)-6. The APA regulations contain additional height requirements in Section 27-548.42 and purchaser notification requirements for property sales in Section 27-548.43 that are relevant to evaluation of this application. No building permit may be approved for a structure higher than 50 feet in APA-6 unless the applicant demonstrates compliance with FAR Part 77.

4. **Parks and Recreation**—The Department of Parks and Recreation has reviewed the above referenced preliminary plan for conformance with the requirements of the *Approved Master Plan and Sectional Map Amendment for Bowie, Collington, Mitchellville and Vicinity Planning Area 74A*, the Land Preservation and Recreation Program for Prince George's County and current subdivision regulations as they pertain to public parks and recreation.

DPR's analysis of the area shows that the recreational needs of the surrounding community are not being met. The initial referral recommended to the Planning Board that the applicant provide on-site private recreational facilities for this development. In October, DPR staff was approached by the applicant to discuss other alternatives in an effort to fulfill the recreational needs of the proposed residential community.

At a meeting on October 6th, the applicant proposed providing off-site public recreational facilities at nearby Collingbrook Community Park. Collingbrook Community Park is a 21.1 acre undeveloped park located directly south of Route 50 on Church Road and only ¼ mile from the project area. The proposed CIP budget shows \$800,000 for fiscal year 10 to be put towards construction of recreational facilities at this location. The applicant offered to make a \$100,000 contribution towards the construction of Collingbrook Community Park. The monetary contribution will be set aside in a community account earmarked for development of this park. DPR staff believes that this contribution will enhance the recreational package of the park and expedite the construction process.

5. **Trails**—The Adopted and Approved Bowie-Collington-Mitchellville and Vicinity Master Plan (1991) included a recommendation for a master plan trail along A-44. It was intended that the master plan trail be completed at the time of road construction and that the trail be within the public-right-of-way.

However, the proposal for A-44 was deleted through the Adopted and Approved Bowie and Vicinity Master Plan (2005). Numerous work sessions and public hearings were held during this process, and the trail along A-44 was initially deleted along with the roadway. The deletion of the A-44 trail was specifically noted at the September 20 and October 18 work sessions of the District Council. On October 25, the District Council proposed CR-77-2005 that included amendments to the Bowie and Vicinity Master Plan. Amendment 29 deleted the A-44 trail.

However, subsequent discussions with the City of Bowie indicated that portions of the former A-44 right-of-way remained in public ownership and that the city remained interested in implementing this trail as an important north-south connection in the study area. This north-south connection proposed along the former A-44 right-of-way is especially important due to the elimination of the proposed Collington Branch Stream Valley Trail north of MD 214 due to environmental constraints. A planned trail along or near the former A-44 corridor will provide the necessary connectivity between subdivisions in this rapidly developing corridor.

For these reasons, the City of Bowie has continued to work with the Department of Parks and Recreation to determine an appropriate alignment for this trail. The trail along the former A-44 corridor will ultimately utilize existing M-NCPPC parkland, city-owned land, sidewalks along public streets, and private HOA trails. As it appears that segments of the A-44 trail remain viable, the final District Council action on the Bowie and Vicinity Master Plan retained the planned trail along the former A-44 right-of-way. This was reflected in CR-11-2006, which deleted the amendment removing the A-44 trail from the master plan.

In light of this, staff recommends that a designated public use trail easement be provided on the subject site to accommodate the future provision of this trail. This will allow the trail to extend from the HOA land to the south of the subject property, to the planned road network on the Rodenhauser Property. A 15-foot-wide public-use trail easement should be reflected along the eastern edge of Lot 23, Block B adjacent to the planned WSSC parcel that will contain the sewer pumping station. This will allow either the City of Bowie or the Department of Parks and Recreation to complete the trail if the environmental features allow, and if it is desired by the community.

It should be noted that a variety of constraints would have to be overcome for this trail to be implemented. The trail will utilize both M-NCPPC parkland and land owned by the City of Bowie. It will also require the concurrence and use of private HOA land and trails along some

segments, and significant environmental constraints remain to be addressed. Construction of the trail off M-NCPPC land will likely require discussions between the City of Bowie and the affected homeowners associations or communities. However, the provision of the public use easement will allow the trail to be completed upon the resolution of these issues.

The Adopted and Approved Bowie and Vicinity Master Plan recommended a trail/bikeway along Church Road south of US 50. At the time of negotiations between DPW&T and the applicant for the nearby Fairwood development, it was determined that this facility would be implemented as an on-road bikeway through the provision of six-foot wide shoulders. This decision was reached based on the desire to preserve the rural, scenic nature of the roadway, minimize the cross section of the road where feasible, and preserve some of the existing trees along the right-of-way. In keeping with the previous approvals in the vicinity for the Fairwood development, staff recommends the provision of the same road frontage improvements for the subject property, unless modified by DPW&T. Share the Road with a Bike signage is also recommended to alert motorists to the possibility of bicycle traffic along Church Road.

The Adopted and Approved Bowie and Vicinity Master Plan also designates Dawn Whistle Way as a shared use bikeway. However, due to the large lot nature of the subject application, the property immediately to the north of the subject property (approved via 4-02063), and the fact that Dawn Whistle Way is not a through street, staff is of the opinion that no physical improvements (signage or striping) is necessary along this road. Due to the lack of through traffic and the residential, low-speed nature of the road, bicycle traffic should be able to move compatibly and safely with the automobile traffic and no additional enhancements are necessary. The road cross section should be consistent with the approval for 4-02063.

6. **Transportation**—The Transportation Planning Section has reviewed the preliminary plan of subdivision for the Rodenhauer property. Although the preliminary plan submitted is proposing a residential subdivision consisting of 62 single-family dwellings, the traffic study submitted by the applicant bases its’ analyses on the development of a residential subdivision consisting of 64 lots.

Traffic Study Analyses:

The applicant submitted a traffic study dated August 2006. The findings and recommendations outlined below are based upon a review of these materials and analyses conducted by staff of the Transportation Planning Section, consistent with the *Guidelines for the Analysis of the Traffic Impact of Development Proposals*. The study identified the following intersections as the ones on which the proposed development would have the most impact:

EXISTING CONDITIONS		
Intersection	AM	PM
	(LOS/CLV)	(LOS/CLV)
Church Road – MD 450	A/470	A/540
Church Road – Mt. Oak Road **	C/21.7 Secs.	F/107.6 Secs.

Church Road – Woodmore Road **	D/26.6 Secs.	F/134.7 Secs.
Church Road – King Isle Court – Site Access **	--	--
<p>** In analyzing unsignalized intersections, average vehicle delay for various movements through the intersection is measured in seconds of vehicle delay. The numbers shown indicate the greatest average delay for any movement within the intersection. According to the Guidelines, an average vehicle delay exceeding 50.0 seconds indicates inadequate traffic operations. Values shown as “+999” suggest that the parameters are outside of the normal range of the procedure, and should be interpreted as a severe inadequacy.</p>		

The traffic study identified twelve (12) background developments whose impact would affect some or all of the study intersections. Additionally, a growth rate of 2 percent was applied to the existing traffic counts at the subject intersections. A second analysis was done to evaluate the impact of the background developments on existing infrastructure. The analysis revealed the following results:

BACKGROUND CONDITIONS		
Intersection	AM	PM
	(LOS/CLV)	(LOS/CLV)
Church Road – MD 450	A/638	A/684
Church Road – Mt. Oak Road **	C/101.8 Secs.	F/547.6 Secs.
Church Road – Woodmore Road **	D/118.9 Secs.	F/578.5 Secs.
Church Road – King Isle Court – Site Access **	B/10.7 Secs.	B/11.2 Secs.
<p>** In analyzing unsignalized intersections, average vehicle delay for various movements through the intersection is measured in seconds of vehicle delay. The numbers shown indicate the greatest average delay for any movement within the intersection. According to the Guidelines, an average vehicle delay exceeding 50.0 seconds indicates inadequate traffic operations. Values shown as “+999” suggest that the parameters are outside of the normal range of the procedure, and should be interpreted as a severe inadequacy.</p>		

Using the “Guidelines For The Analysis Of The Traffic Impact Of Development Proposals,” the study has indicated that the proposed development of 64 single family units will be adding 48 (9 in; 39 out) AM peak hour trips and 58 (38 in; 20 out) PM peak hour trips at the time of full build-out. A third analysis was done, whereby the impact of the proposed development was evaluated. The results of that analysis are as follows:

TOTAL CONDITIONS		
Intersection	AM	PM
	(LOS/CLV)	(LOS/CLV)
Church Road – MD 450	A/643	A/690
Church Road – Mt. Oak Road **	C/126.4 Secs.	F/624.5 Secs.
Church Road – Woodmore Road **	D/137.8 Secs.	F/635.9 Secs.
Church Road – King Isle Court – Site Access **	B/13.4 Secs.	C/16.8 Secs.

A fourth analysis was performed for the realigned Woodmore Road-Mt. Oak Road intersection as proposed in the Prince George’s County FY 2006-2011 approved capital improvement program (CIP ID No. FD669921). Based on a proposed lane usage obtained from DPW&T’s staff, the proposed realigned intersection was analyzed as a signalized intersection. The result of that analysis showed a LOS/CLV of A/383 during the Am peak hour, and A/509 during the PM peak hour.

The traffic study concluded that all of the intersections will operate at acceptable levels-of-service under future conditions. It further recommends that the applicant proffer a fair-share pro rata payment towards the developer funded CIP project at Woodmore Road-Mt. Oak Road realigned.

Staff review and comments:

Upon review of the applicant’s traffic study, The Transportation Planning Section concurs with its findings regarding the adequacy of the intersections within the study area. With respect to the applicant’s monetary contribution to the CIP project, staff will not be requiring such a contribution. While several developments in the vicinity of the subject property were previously approved with conditions requiring monetary contribution to DPW&T, it is should be emphasized that the CIP improvements are currently listed as being fully funded. None of the previous approvals were made while the CIP improvements were designated as being fully funded.

In addition to the Transportation Planning Section, the traffic study was also reviewed by DPW&T. In a June 1, 2006 letter to staff (Issayans to Jenkins), the DPW&T recommends that the applicant contribute to the funding of the proposed improvements cited in the CIP. The letter also indicated that appropriate sight distance at the entrance to the subject property must be available.

Because 100 percent of the construction funding has been appropriated within the capital budget current six-year cycle, staff has no basis to require monetary contribution. Should the applicant choose to proffer funds on his own accord, the Transportation Planning Section staff has no objections.

Master Plan Comments

The *Bowie & Vicinity Master Plan* (2006) lists Church Road as a collector roadway with 90 feet of right of way.

TRANSPORTATION STAFF FINDINGS

The application is a preliminary plan of subdivision for a residential development of 64 single-family units. The proposed development will be adding 48 (9 in; 39 out) AM peak hour trips and 58 (38 in; 20 out) PM peak hour trips at the time of full build-out. The traffic generated by the proposed preliminary plan would impact the following intersections:

- Church Road – MD 450
- Church Road – Mt. Oak Road **
- Church Road – Woodmore Road **
- Church Road – King Isle Court – Site Access **

** *Unsignalized intersection*

Growth Policy—Service Level Standards

The subject property is located within the developing tier, as defined in the General Plan for Prince George's County. As such, the subject property is evaluated according to the following standards:

Links and signalized intersections: Level-of-service (LOS) D, with signalized intersections operating at a critical lane volume (CLV) of 1,450 or better. Mitigation, as defined by Section 24-124(a)(6) of the Subdivision Ordinance, is permitted at signalized intersections within any tier subject to meeting the geographical criteria in the guidelines.

Unsignalized intersections: The *Highway Capacity Manual* procedure for unsignalized intersections is not a true test of adequacy but rather an indicator that further operational studies need to be conducted. Vehicle delay in any movement exceeding 50.0 seconds is deemed to be an unacceptable operating condition at unsignalized intersections. In response to such a finding, the Planning Board has generally recommended that the applicant provide a traffic signal warrant study and install the signal (or other less costly warranted traffic controls) if deemed warranted by the appropriate operating agency.

All of the intersections are projected to operate adequately under total condition with the exception of Mt. Oak Road with Church and Woodmore Road with Church Road. These intersections are programmed for improvement with 100 percent construction funding within the next six years in the current Prince George's County capital improvement program. Specifically, Woodmore Road will be realigned to the north to create a single 4-legged intersection. Signalization is also included in this CIP project. As a result of this funded project, this reconfigured intersection of Church Road and Woodmore Road-Mt. Oak Road is projected to operate at adequate level of service.

The referral memo submitted by DPW&T for this application states that no driveway access to serve the proposed lots fronting on Church Road is to be allowed. However, at the Subdivision Review Committee Meeting for this case on July 14, 2006, DPW&T had stated that Lots 1 and 2, Block A, could have direct access to Church Road provided that abutting driveways with turn-

around capability are provided. Direct access to Church Road for Lots 3, Block A, and Lot 33, Block B is denied.

TRANSPORTATION STAFF CONCLUSIONS

Based on the preceding findings, the Transportation Planning Section concludes that adequate transportation facilities would exist to serve the proposed subdivision as required under Section 24-124 of the Prince George’s County Code if the application is approved with conditions:

- 7. **Schools**—The Historic Preservation and Public Facilities Planning Section has reviewed this preliminary plan for impact of school facilities in accordance with Section 24-122.02 of the Subdivision Regulations and CB-30-2003 and CR-23-2003 and concluded the following.

Finding

Impact on Affected Public School Clusters

Affected School Clusters	Elementary School Cluster 3	Middle School Cluster 2	High School Cluster 2
Dwelling Units	64 sfd	64 sfd	64 sfd
Pupil Yield Factor	0.24	0.06	0.12
Subdivision Enrollment	15.36	3.84	7.68
Actual Enrollment	5137	7218	10839
Completion Enrollment	176	112	223
Cumulative Enrollment	9.36	17.04	35.16
Total Enrollment	5337.72	7350.88	11104.84
State Rated Capacity	4838	6569	8920
Percent Capacity	110.33%	111.90%	124.49%

Source: Prince George's County Planning Department, M-NCPPC, December 2005

These figures are correct on the day the referral memo was written. They are subject to change under the provisions of CB-30-2003 and CR-23-2003. Other projects that are approved prior to the public hearing on this project will cause changes to these figures. The numbers shown in the resolution of approval will be the ones that apply to this project.

County Council bill CB-31-2003 establishes a school facilities surcharge in the amounts of: \$7,000 per dwelling if a building is located between interstate highway 495 and the District of Columbia; \$7,000 per dwelling if the building is included within a basic plan or conceptual site plan that abuts an existing or planned mass transit rail station site operated by the Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority; or \$12,000 per dwelling for all other buildings. Council bill CB-31-2003 allows for these surcharges to be adjusted for inflation and the current amounts are \$7,671 and 13,151 to be a paid at the time of issuance of each building permit.

The school surcharge may be used for the construction of additional or expanded school facilities and renovations to existing school buildings or other systemic changes.

The Historic Preservation and Public Facilities Planning Section finds that this project meets the adequate public facilities policies for school facilities contained in Section 24-122.02, CB-30-2003 and CB-31-2003 and CR-23-2003.

8. **Fire and Rescue**—The Historic Preservation & Public Facilities Planning Section has reviewed this subdivision plan for fire and rescue services in accordance with Section 24-122.01(d) and Section 24-122.01(e)(1)(B)-(E) of the Subdivision Ordinance.

The Prince George’s County Planning Department has determined that this preliminary plan is within the required seven-minute response time for the first due fire station Bowie, Company 43, using the *Seven-Minute Travel Times and Fire Station Locations Map* provided by the Prince George’s County Fire Department.

Pursuant to CR-69-2006, Prince George’s County Council and the County Executive suspended the provisions of Section 24-122.01(e)(1)(A, B) regarding sworn police and fire and rescue personnel staffing levels.

The Fire Chief has reported that the department has adequate equipment to meet the standards stated in CB-56-2005.

9. **Police Facilities**—The Prince George’s County Planning Department has determined that the subject property is located in Police District II. The standard for emergency calls response is 10 minutes and 25 minutes for non-emergency calls. The times are based on a rolling average for the proceeding 12 months. The preliminary plan was accepted for processing by the Planning Department on June 29, 2006.

Reporting Cycle	Date	Emergency Calls	Non-emergency
Acceptance Date	01/05/05-06/05/06	10.00	22.00
Cycle 1			
Cycle 2			
Cycle 3			

The response time standards of 10 minutes for emergency calls and 25 minutes for non-emergency calls were met on June 5, 2006.

Pursuant to CR-69-2006, Prince George’s County Council and the County Executive suspended the provisions of Section 24-122.01(e)(1)(A, B) regarding sworn police and fire and rescue personnel staffing levels.

The Police Chief has reported that the department has adequate equipment to meet the standards stated in CB-56-2005.

10. **Health Department**—The Environmental Engineering Program has reviewed the preliminary plan of subdivision for the Rodenhauser Property and has the following comments to offer:

The abandoned septic systems serving the existing houses must be pumped out by a licensed scavenger and either removed or backfilled in place once the houses are connected to the public sewerage system. The locations of the septic systems should be located on the preliminary plan.

The abandoned wells (one shallow and two deep) adjacent to the existing houses at 3511 and 3513 Church Road must be backfilled and sealed in accordance with COMAR 26.04.04 by a licensed well driller or witnessed by a representative from the Health Department as part of the grading permit. The location of the wells should be located on the preliminary plan.

All abandoned vehicles (one van, two riding mowers, four cars, five boats, eight trucks, and ten trailers) found on the property must be removed and properly disposed.

A raze permit is required prior to the removal of any of the structures on site. A raze permit can be obtained through the Department of Environmental Resources, Office of Licenses and Permits. Any hazardous materials located in any structures on site must be removed and properly stored or discarded prior to the structures being razed.

Approximately five unlabeled drums/tanks were found on the property. A portion of the drums/tanks contained some type of liquid. The liquid must be evaluated and disposed of in an appropriate manner by a licensed hazardous waste company. A copy of the manifest must be submitted to this office prior to preliminary plan approval. If the drums are not removed as part of preliminary plan approval, this office will contact the Hazardous Materials Section of the Prince George's County Fire Department for proper disposal.

11. **Stormwater Management**—The Department of Environmental Resources (DER), Development Services Division, has determined that on-site stormwater management is required. Stormwater Management Concept Plan 1822-2005-00 has been approved with conditions to ensure that development of this site does not result in on-site or downstream flooding. Development must be in accordance with this approved plan.
12. **Historic**—A Phase I archaeological report was prepared on behalf of Church Road Development, Inc. of Columbia, Maryland. The Historic Preservation and Public Facilities Planning Section agrees with the conclusions and recommendations of the report, that no further archaeological investigations are warranted. However, four copies of the revised, final report must be submitted prior to signature approval of the preliminary plan.
13. **Lot Size Averaging**—The applicant has proposed to utilize the lot size averaging (LSA) provision provided for in Section 24-121(a)(12) of the Subdivision Regulations for a portion of this property.

Section 27-423 of the Prince George's County Zoning Ordinance establishes the zoning requirements for lot size averaging.

- A. **The maximum number of lots permitted is equal to the gross acreage divided by the largest minimum lot size in the zone (40,000 square feet).**
- B. **At least 50 percent of the lots created shall equal or exceed the largest minimum lot size in the zone (40,000 square feet).**

Based on the gross acreage of 73.01 acres within the R-E Zone, 79 lots would be allowed. The applicant is proposing 62 lots. Thirty-six of the proposed lots meet or exceed the largest minimum net lot area (40,000 square feet) required in the R-E Zone. Therefore, at least 50-percent of the lots created will equal or exceed the largest minimum net lot area required in the zone. The proposed subdivision meets the minimum zoning ordinance standards for lot size averaging.

Further, Section 24-121(a)(12) requires that the Planning Board make the following findings in permitting the use of lot size averaging:

- A. The subdivision design provides for better access, protects or enhances historic resource or natural features and amenities, or otherwise provides for a better environment than that which could be achieved by the exclusive use of standard lots.**

Comment: There are no historic resources associated with the property that require protection or avoidance. The property does have a significant amount of sensitive environmental features. The utilization of lot size averaging will help to eliminate the need for any additional impacts to the sensitive environmental features that are so abundant on the property which include a stream that bisects the property from north to south, wetlands, 100-year floodplain, and areas of steep and severe slopes. The subdivision as designed protects these natural features to the greatest extent possible.

- B. The subdivision design provides for an adequate transition between the proposed sizes and locations of lots and the lots, or lot size standards, of any adjacent residentially zoned parcels.**

Comment: Due to the location of on-site wetlands, which bisect the property from north to south, the subdivision has been designed to provide two points of vehicular access. Access has been provided from Church Road for the western portion of the property, and from Dawn Whistle Way for the eastern portion of the site. Utilizing lot size averaging eliminates the further need to impact the environmental sensitive areas of the property. The adjacent subdivisions to north and south were both approved utilizing the lot size averaging provisions. Therefore, the subdivision design provides for an adequate transition between the lots proposed on the subject property, and the existing lots on the adjacent properties.

- C. The subdivision design, where applicable, provides for an adequate transition between the proposed natural features of the site and any natural features of adjacent parcels.**

Comment: The same stream that bisects the property from north to south, also encumbers both the adjacent property to the north and the adjacent property to the south. Protecting these environmentally sensitive areas ensures that the natural features will be connected to and will enhance the natural features located on the adjacent properties. Protection of these natural features will also help to create wildlife corridors and allow the wooded wetlands to function as a more complete eco-system than small scattered preservation areas.

Staff supports the applicant's proposal to utilize the LSA provision for the development of this property.

14. **City of Bowie**—The City of Bowie has reviewed the preliminary plan application for the Rodenhauser Property, and have prepared a staff report to present to the City Council. The city has recommended approval of the preliminary plan application subject to conditions. The following is brief summary of the City of Bowie's concerns and conditions;

Condition 1 addresses transportation improvements that have been included within this report. However, the pro-rata contribution to the developer funded, Mount Oak Road/Woodmore Road

CIP improvements is not being required by the Transportation Planning Section because the CIP improvements are currently listed as being fully funded.

Condition 2 is requesting that specimen trees 1 through 7 be preserved on the TCP-II. Six of the seven specimen trees that the city is requesting to be preserved will remain. However, specimen tree 1, (37-inch Pin Oak), will encroach into the private right-of-way easement serving Lots 42 and 43, Block B. The private right-of-way easement to serve these two lots was added at the request of staff during the review of the prior applications for the property. The private right-of-way easement is being implemented in this location is to protect the sensitive environmental features that are existing on these lots, and to prevent further impact to the PMA area.

Condition 3 is requesting the developer to install and construct the 10-foot-wide asphalt trail and associated signage through Parcel A. The easement for the trail will be adjacent to Parcel A, and on the easterly limits of Lot 23. This will prevent the trail from being on WSSC property, and will not interfere with the landscape buffers that will screen the WSSC pumping station. The condition within this report is for the reservation of the easement only, and does not include the actual construction of the trail. This is due to the uncertainty of the implementation of this trail network and the variety of constraints that would have to be overcome for this trail to be implemented. The trail will utilize both M-NCPPC parkland, land owned by the City of Bowie, and private land, some of which is owned by various homeowners associations. The Rodenhauser property will not have an HOA. If the trail were to be constructed as part of this preliminary plan, there would be no assurances on any future maintenance of the trail. The provision of the public use easement will allow the trail to be completed upon the resolution of these issues.

Condition 4 is requesting the width of the driveway aprons and abutting driveways to be located on Lots 1 and 2, Block A to be restricted from expanding in the future. A 40-foot-wide scenic/historic buffer will be adjacent to Church Road, and the final plat will carry a note that precludes any disturbance or installation of any structure within specific areas. Failure to comply will mean a violation of an approved Tree Conservation Plan and will make the owner subject to mitigation under the Woodland Conservation Ordinance.

Condition 5 is requesting the vehicular access to Church Road be prohibited for Lot 3, Block A, and Lot 33, Block B. This condition has been included within the staff report, and will be carried forward on to the final plat.

Condition 6 is requesting the front elevations of all dwelling units fronting Church Road to directly face Church Road (as opposed to being sited diagonally or on a side street), and Condition 7 is requesting front elevations of various lots to facing specified streets. Staff does not disagree with these conditions, however, these recommendations would be more applicable to a detailed site plan application, and therefore were not included within this report.

Condition 8 is requesting the developer to install recreational facilities as specified by the Parks Department. The applicant has offered to make a \$100,000 contribution towards the construction of Collingbrook Community Park. The monetary contribution will be set aside in a community account earmarked for development of this park. DPR staff believes that this contribution will enhance the recreational package of the park and expedite the construction process. An appropriate condition has been added to require the monetary contribution prior to final plat approval.

Condition 9 is requesting that the property be cleared toward the wetland and stream corridors to provide an opportunity for any wildlife that may exist on the property to relocate to those areas

that will remain undisturbed. The Type-I tree conservation plan has gone through extensive revisions during this application's review period. The numerous sensitive environmental features that are located on the property have dictated which areas will be preserved or cleared. The revised plan will implement the Green Infrastructure Plan at this location, and meet the requirements of the Woodland Conservation Ordinance.

Condition 10 is requesting fencing, similar to silt fencing to be installed across the entire frontage of the subject property to potentially restrict wildlife from crossing Church Road. Staff has no objections to this request, however, there is no Subdivision, or Zoning Ordinance regulation that would make this a requirement of the applicant.

RECOMMENDATION

APPROVAL, subject to the following conditions:

1. Prior to signature approval of the preliminary plan of subdivision, the following technical corrections shall be made:
 - a. Revise Outlot, Parcel B to be designated only as Outlot B, and provide a general note that indicates that the outlot will be conveyed to the adjacent property owner of Lot 4, Rodenhauer Meadows, (Chroniger Property), should the property owner be willing to accept the property.
 - b. Delineate the three abandoned wells adjacent to 3511 and 3513 Church Road, and provide proper abandonment notes in accordance with the Health Department's July 14, 2006, memo.
 - c. Eliminate Parcel B, and further label the shared driveway access as a private right-of-way easement in accordance with Section 24-128(b)(1) of the Subdivision Regulations.
 - d. Revise the private right-of-way easement serving Lots 42 and 43, Block B to provide a minimum lot width of 50 feet at the front street line. The proposed easement can be widened, or further extended into Lot 43, Block B to meet the required lot width at the front street line.
 - e. Demonstrate the required 120-foot building restriction line for Lots 23, and 43, Block B.
 - f. Demonstrate the required 100-foot building restriction line for Lot 21, Block B.
 - g. Verify that the distance along the front street line of Lot 35, Block B is correct, and drawn to scale.
 - h. The preliminary plan demonstrates 30-foot landscape bufferyards on Parcel A to screen the proposed WSSC pumping station. However, the TCP-I demonstrates the landscape bufferyards on the adjacent Lots 22, and 23, Block B. Revise the TCP-I to provide all the required landscaping on Parcel A.
 - i. Provide the required 30-foot-wide landscape bufferyard along the southern property line of Parcel A (WSSC Pumping Station).

- j. Revise the lot size averaging notes to demonstrate that 26 of the proposed lots will be utilizing the lot size averaging provisions, and 36 of the proposed lots will be developed in accordance with the conventional standards of the R-E Zone.
 - k. Revise general note 19 to indicate that Lot 3, Block A, and Lot 33, Block B (not 34) are denied access to Church Road.
 - l. Revise the net lot area for Lots 52, and 53, Block B to exclude the land lying within the 100-year floodplain, but to include the land lying within the PMA to provide the minimum net lot area required in the R-E Zone utilizing the lot size averaging provisions.
2. Prior to approval of the final plat of subdivision, the applicant, his heirs, successors and/or assignees shall provide a monetary contribution to the M-NCPPC Department of Parks and Recreation in the amount of \$100,000 for the design and construction of Collington Brook Community Park.
 3. Development of this site shall be in conformance with the Stormwater Management Concept Plan 1822-2005-00 and any subsequent revisions.
 4. Prior to final plat approval, a Type II Tree Conservation Plan shall be approved. All approved reforestation treatment areas on private lots, including lots with interrelated woodland preservation treatments shall be shown on the final plat as being placed in a conservation easement.
 5. Prior to the issuance of building permits for any lot containing afforestation, all reforestation and associated permanent protective fencing shall be installed. A certification prepared by a qualified professional may be used to provide verification that the reforestation has been completed. It shall include, at a minimum, photos of the reforestation areas and the associated fencing for each lot, with labels on the photos identifying the locations and a plan showing the locations where the photos were taken.
 6. Prior to signature approval of the TCPII, a graphic symbol as shown on the TCPI for proposed Lots 52 and 53 shall be shown in relation to proposed Lots 2-16, 23-29, 34-43, and 46-49 of Block B to demonstrate the 40 feet of cleared rear yard area in relation to the back of the house footprint and the residential edge of the conservation easement.
 7. Prior to contract signing for the purchase of any lot with a conservation easement, a copy of the approved TCPII shall be shown to the potential buyer and an affidavit shall be executed between the builder and buyer as part of the contract. The affidavit shall acknowledge the buyer's understanding of the limitations associated with the lot.
 8. At time of final plat, a conservation easement shall be described by bearings and distances. The conservation easement shall contain the Patuxent River Primary Management Area, including all 100-year floodplain, streams, Patuxent River PMA and afforestation areas, except for the proposed impacts for storm drain outfalls, gravity and pressure sewer lines in areas of approved disturbance, and shall be reviewed by the Environmental Planning Section prior to approval of the final plat. Conservation easements shall be placed over all woodland conservation areas. The following note shall be placed on the plat:

“Conservation easements described on this plat are areas where the installation of structures and roads and the removal of vegetation are prohibited without prior written

consent from the M-NCPPC Planning Director or designee. The removal of hazardous trees, limbs, branches, or trunks is allowed.”

9. Prior to the issuance of any permits which impact jurisdictional wetlands, wetland buffers, streams or Waters of the U.S., the applicant shall submit copies of all federal and state wetland permits, evidence that approved conditions have been complied with, and associated mitigation plans.
10. Prior to signature approval of the preliminary plan, the proposed storm drain outfall pipe on proposed Lot 43 shall be evaluated to determine if it can be shortened to reduce the impact to the PMA.
11. The submittal of the TCPII shall include a corresponding symbol on the plan and legend to depict the temporary location(s) of all proposed construction and sales trailers. These temporary locations shall not violate the approved limits of disturbance on the TCPII.
12. Prior to signature approval of the preliminary plan, the TCPI shall be revised as follows:
 - a. Label the proposed easement on proposed Lots 4, 5, 10 and 11 for its intended purpose on the plan and include the width of the easement. The proposed storm drain on Lot 1 of Block B should be shown as an outfall within an easement. Also show the proposed storm drain easement on proposed Lots 2 and 3.
 - b. Add all the proposed lot sizes.
 - c. Provide all required landscape buffers to screen the proposed pumping station on Parcel A.
 - d. Replace the reference to the “Rodenhauser Property” at the end of the first sentence in standard TCPI note 1 and insert the phrase “Preliminary Plan 4-06063.”
 - e. After these revisions have been made, have the qualified professional who prepared the plan sign and date it.
13. Development of this subdivision shall be in conformance with an approved Type I Tree Conservation Plan (TCPI/08/05). The following note shall be placed on the final plat of subdivision:

“This development is subject to restrictions shown on the approved Type I Tree Conservation Plan (TCPI/08/05), or as modified by the Type II Tree Conservation Plan, and precludes any disturbance or installation of any structure within specific areas. Failure to comply will mean a violation of an approved Tree Conservation Plan and will make the owner subject to mitigation under the Woodland Conservation Ordinance. This property is subject to the notification provisions of CB-60-2005.”
14. Prior to signature approval of the preliminary plan, the TCPI shall be revised to show a reforestation treatment area in the designated 40-foot-wide scenic easement along Church Road. Large caliper trees shall be used to provide a visual buffer from the historic road and label the reforestation treatment with the corresponding symbol in the legend. Show the reforestation/buffer area to the closest 1/100th of an acre; and adjust the worksheet accordingly regarding the reforestation amount.

15. The following note shall be placed on the final plat:

“Road improvements on Church Road shall be carried out in accordance with Design Guidelines and Standards for Scenic and Historic Roads prepared by the Department of Public Works and Transportation. The applicant shall coordinate a conceptual preapplication meeting between the Department of Public Works and Transportation and M-NCPPC to determine what these improvements are prior to Paving and Stormdrain Plan submittal.”
16. The Type II Tree Conservation Plan (TCPII) shall include a plant schedule for the quantity of trees in the reforestation area/40-foot wide scenic easement to meet the requirements of the Woodland Conservation Ordinance.
17. The applicant, his heirs, successors and/or assignees shall provide a fifteen-foot wide, public use trail easement on the eastern edge of Lot 23, Block B. The trail easement shall extend from the common southern property boundary of Lot 23, Block B and adjacent Parcel B (Allen Property), and run north following the easterly limits of Lot 23, Block B to connect to the proposed internal Street B in conformance with the Adopted and Approved Bowie and Vicinity Master Plan and CR-11-2006. The limits of the easement shall be delineated on the Preliminary Plan and Type-I Tree Conservation Plan prior to signature approval, and further demonstrated on the final plat.
18. At the time of final plat approval, the applicant, his heirs, successors and/or assignees shall dedicate right-of-way along Church Road of 45 feet from the master plan centerline.
 - a) The applicant shall provide for any necessary turn lanes and frontage improvements as required by DPW&T. These may include turn lanes for deceleration and acceleration of vehicles at the site entrance on Church Road. Additional right of way dedication to DPW&T may be required for these improvements.
 - b) Lots 1 and 2, Block A, fronting on Church Road shall have abutting driveways that provide turn-around capability.
19. In conformance with the Adopted and Approved Bowie-Collington-Mitchellville and Vicinity Master Plan and in conformance with prior preliminary plan approvals, the applicant, his heirs, successors and/or assignees shall provide the following:
 - a) Provide the master plan bicycle facility along the subject property’s entire length of Church Road. This facility will be implemented with six-foot wide asphalt shoulders along the frontage of the subject property, unless modified by DPW&T.
 - b) Church Road shall be designated as a Class III bikeway with appropriate signage. Because Church Road is a County right-of-way, the applicant, his heirs, successors and/or assignees shall provide a financial contribution of \$210 to the Department of Public Works and Transportation for the placement of this signage. A note shall be placed on the final plat for payment to be received prior to the issuance of the first building permit.
20. Prior to the issuance of grading permits the applicant, his heirs, successors and/or assignees shall demonstrate that all abandoned wells and septic systems has been pumped, backfilled and/or

sealed in accordance with COMAR 26.04.04 by a licensed well driller or scavenger and witnessed by a representative of the Health Department.

21. Prior to the removal of any of the existing structures, a raze permit must be obtained through the Department of Environmental Resources. Any hazardous materials located in the structures must be removed and properly stored or discarded prior to the structures being razed.
22. Prior to the issuance of grading permits the applicant, his heirs, successors and/or assignees shall submit evidence to the Health Department that the five unlabeled drums/tanks found on the subject property have been disposed of in an appropriate manner by a licensed hazardous waste company, and a copy of the manifest shall submitted to the Health Department.
23. Prior to approval of the final plat, the applicant, his heirs, successors and/or assignees shall submit an executed deed of conveyance for Outlot B to the adjacent property owner of Lot 4, Rodenhauser Meadows, (Chroniger Property), should the property owner be willing to accept the property. The applicant, his heirs, successors and/or assignees shall demonstrate due diligence in obtaining the agreement for conveyance of the outlot to the abutting property owner to the south. Should the adjacent property owner choose not to accept the outlot, the applicant can either convey the property to DPW&T as additional right-of-way, should they choose to accept it, or the square footage of the outlot may be incorporated into one of the abutting lots.
24. Prior to approval of the final plat, the applicant, his heirs, successors and/or assignees shall submit an executed deed for conveyance of Parcel A to the Washington Suburban Sanitary Commission for the future pumping station.
25. Prior to the approval of the final plat, the applicant, his heirs, successors and/or assignees shall demonstrate conformance to the disclosure requirements of Section 27-548.43 of the Zoning Ordinance regarding the proximity of this subdivision to a general aviation airport.
26. Prior to signature approval of the preliminary plan, the applicant, his heirs, successors and or assignees shall submit four copies of the final Phase I archeological investigation report to the M-NCPPC Historic Preservation Section. Evidence of M-NCPPC concurrence with the final Phase I report and recommendations are required.
27. Prior to the approval of the final plat, the applicant, his heirs, successors and/or assignees shall record a plat of resubdivision for Collingbrook (REP 197 @ 92) for the realignment of Dawn Whistle Way.
28. Prior to the approval of the final plat, the applicant, his heirs, successors and/or assignees shall complete the vacation process for the portion of Rodenhauser Lane and Port Lane recorded per Plat WWW 72 @ 17.
29. The final plat shall carry a note that states direct access to Church Road is denied for Lot 3, Block A, and Lot 33, Block B.

STAFF RECOMMENDS APPROVAL OF TYPE I TREE CONSERVATION PLAN TCPI/08/05 AND THE REQUESTED VARIATIONS TO SECTION 24-130 OF THE SUBDIVISION REGULATIONS.